

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/609,135	06/27/2003	David K. Lewis	18189K-013010US	6736
20350	7590 02/28/2006		EXAMINER	
TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER EIGHTH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834			EL ARINI, ZEINAB	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1746 ·	

DATE MAILED: 02/28/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application/Control Number: 10/609,135 Page 2

Art Unit: 1746

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group I, claims 1-7 in the reply filed on 02/09/06 is acknowledged.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 4. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential steps, such omission amounting to a gap between the steps. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted steps are: the steps of preparing the produce.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tottenham et al. (6,264,889) in combination with Ecker (6,148,989).

Application/Control Number: 10/609,135

Art Unit: 1746

Tottenham et al. disclose a method and apparatus for pasteurization of food products and processing equipment. The reference discloses transporting the produce on a conveyor (80), and applying steam to the outer surface of produce. See the abstract, col. 2, lines 47-59, and Fig. 1. The reference discloses bathing the food with chilled water after applying steam. The reference also discloses that alternatively, the water may or may not be chilled, and may include chlorine, or some other suitable food and equipment grade-sanitizing agent. See col. 5, lines 11-50.

Tottenham et al. do not teach the pressure, washing the produce on the conveyor before applying steam, and rotating the produce as claimed.

Ecker discloses a method and an apparatus for cleaning produce. The reference discloses washing, rinsing, and sanitizing the produce. The reference also discloses rotating the produce during transporting the produce on a conveyor. See the abstract, figs. 3, and 6, col. 4, lines 21-36, and col. 8, line 45-27.

It would have been obvious for one skilled in the art to rotate the conveyor during transporting the produce taught by Ecker in the Tottenham et al. process to obtain uniform distribution of the steam or cleaning agent on the surface of the produce and to enhance the cleaning process. It would have been obvious for one skilled in the art to wash the produce before applying steam to improve the cleaning process, since Ecker discloses more than one treating station for treating a produce.

Tottenham et al. and Ecker do not teach the pressure as claimed.

It would have been obvious for one skilled in the art to adjust the pressure of the applied steam to obtain optimum results.

Page 4

## **Conclusion**

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Nwoko et al. (US 2001/0047814 A1 disclose a method and apparatus for sterilizing fresh fruit.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Zeinab E. EL-Arini whose telephone number is (571) 272-1301. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Barr can be reached on (571) 272-1414. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Zeinab Elanini Zeinab E. EL-Arini Primary Examiner Art Unit 1746

ZEE 02/22/06